

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
09/764,718	01/18/2001	Patrick H. Wnek	5887.00	7564	
26158 7590 10/15/2004			EXAMINER		
	ARLYLE SANDRIDGE	4			
P.O. BOX 7037 ATLANTA, G	/ A 30357-0037	57-0037		PAPER NUMBER	
				30	
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## UNITED STATES DE ARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Tracemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				28	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner for Patents** 

(see attached)

Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Nedia a CAbandana	09/764,718	WNEK, PATRICK H.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<del></del>				
This application is abandoned in view of:		•				
<ul> <li>1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on</li> <li>(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on</li> </ul>						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.	,					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		se the period for seeking court review				
7.   The reason(s) below:						
Failure to provide a proper Appeal Brief in a timely manner.						
		Stephen J. Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3727				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 29				

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Communication Re: Appeal	09/764,718	WNEK, PATRICK H.			
- Appear	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen J. Castellano	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not accept	ptable because:				
(a) it was not timely filed.	•				
(b)  the statutory fee for filing the appeal was n	not submitted. See 37 CFR 1.	.17(b).			
(c) the appeal fee received on was not	timely filed.				
(d)  the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient.	The appeal fee required by 3	37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$			
<ul><li>(e)  the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CF rejection in this application.</li></ul>	R 1.191 in that there is no red	cord of a second or a final			
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was maile	ed by the Office on	•			
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptal	ble for the reason(s) indicated	l below:			
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.					
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).					
(c)  the submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficent	cient. The brief fee required t	oy 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$			
The appeal in this application will be dismissed brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may		•			
3.   The appeal in this application is DISMISSED be	cause:				
<ul> <li>(a)  the statutory fee for filing the brief as requi period for obtaining an extension of time to</li> </ul>					
<ul><li>(b)  the brief was not timely filed and the period</li><li>CFR 1.136 has expired.</li></ul>	d for obtaining an extension o	f time to file the brief under 37			
(c) Request for Continued Examination (RCE	) under 37 CFR 1.114 was file	ed on			
(d) ⊠ other: <u>(see attached)</u>					
4.   Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this app	lication:				
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no allowed	ed claims.				
<ul><li>(b) is before the examiner for final disposition on the merits remains CLOSED.</li></ul>	because it contains allowed of	claims. Prosecution			
(c) is before the examiner for consideration of to 37 CFR 1.114.	Ste	tion has been reopened pursuant  Cuttllum  phen J. Castellano mary Examiner			
		Unit: 3727			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-461 (Rev. 9-00) Application/Control Number: 09/764,718

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant has again submitted a defective brief for the following reasons:

1. Issue 1 has been resolved because the amendment filed August 7, 2003 has been entered and the 112, second paragraph rejection has been overcome. Issue 1 was not argued either. See item 5 within the notification of non-compliance of paper No. 18.

- 2. Appellant sets forth nine issues, the argument section is not separated by separate headings for each issue on appeal. See item 7 within the notification of non-compliance of paper No. 18.
- 3. Appellant has set forth separate groupings for each issue and effectively states that the claims do not stand or fall together as "each of the above defined groups stand or fall together." Appellant must present arguments in support of the separate groupings. Re the paragraph that argues issue 2, there is no argument as to why group I within issue 2 should be treated as a separate grouping as group I is not mentioned. Also, there is no separate argument for groups II and III. As for the other issues (issues 3-9), issues 3-6 and 9 all refer back to issue 2 where issu 2 doesn't provide an argument. See item 6(b) within the notification of non-compliance of paper No. 18.
- 4. The appendix is not correct because the word "said" has not been removed from claim 17 in line 4.

Page 2